

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/523,679		HARADA ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	TIMOTHY C. VANOY		1793	

All Participants:

(1) TIMOTHY C. VANOY.

(2) Mr. Kenneth Fields (Applicants' Attorney).

Date of Interview: 5 March 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

5

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Timothy C Vano/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:50 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Exm'r. proposed amending claim 5 line 2 by inserting --oil-- between "heavy" and "gasification" and also amending claim 5 line 8 by replacing "can be" with --is-- via an Examiner's Amendment. Attorney granted the examiner authorization to enter these proposed Examiners' Amendments..